

SPIRAL STRUCTURE AND GALAXY ENVIRONMENT

Sidney van den Bergh

Dominion Astrophysical Observatory, Herzberg Institute of Astrophysics, National
Research Council of Canada, 5071 West Saanich Road, Victoria, BC, V9E 2E7, Canada

`sidney.vandenbergh@nrc.ca`

Received _____; accepted _____

ABSTRACT

Among 330 normal spirals of types Sa-Sc the fraction of objects exhibiting “ring”, “intermediate” and “spiral” arm varieties does not correlated with environment. A similar conclusion appears to apply to the arm varieties of 123 barred spirals of types SBa-SBc. It is concluded that, among the northern Shapley-Ames galaxies, the distinction between the spiral and ring varieties of spiral arms is, within the accuracy of presently available data, independent of galaxy environment. This result suggests that the detailed morphology of spiral arms depends primarily on parent galaxy characteristics, rather than on the galactic environment.

Subject headings: galaxies: spiral arm morphology - galaxies: clusters

1. INTRODUCTION

De Vaucouleurs (1959) described the morphology of spiral arms by dividing them into ring r , intermediate rs and spiral s varieties. Following in de Vaucouleurs’s footsteps Sandage & Tammann (1981) used large-scale plates to assign spiral galaxies in the Shapley-Ames Catalog (Shapley & Ames 1931) to the r , rs , and s varieties. Sandage & Tammann rarely assigned spiral arm varieties to galaxies of type Sd, and never to Sm, E/Sa, and S0/a galaxies. Furthermore it was only possible for Sandage & Tammann to assign about half of the tightly wound spiral structure in Sa and SBa galaxies to the s , rs , and r varieties. In galaxies that were viewed edge-on, or almost edge-on, it was usually not possible to determine the arm varieties.

A book length review on all aspects of galactic rings has been published by Buta & Combes (1996). Furthermore Buta (1995) has given a catalog of 3692 ringed galaxies

located south of $\delta = -17^\circ$. Finally physical processes that lead to the formation of such rings have been discussed by Buta (1999).

It is the purpose of the present investigation to see if the dichotomy between spiral and ring-shaped arms might correlate with galaxy environment. To check on this point the environmental assignments of Shapley-Ames galaxies north of $\delta = -27^\circ$, that were recently published by van den Bergh (2002), were compared with the spiral arm varieties listed by Sandage & Tammann. Galaxies were assigned to the “field”, to “groups” or to “clusters” on the basis of an inspection of their environments on the prints of the Palomar Sky Survey and/or their group or cluster designations in van den Bergh (1960). A galaxy was regarded as a group member if it appeared to belong to a grouping that had between three and six other (non-dwarf) members. A galaxy had to have at least six (non-dwarf) companions to be assigned to the cluster category. More details on how environmental assignments were made are given in van den Bergh (2002). In that paper it was found that the frequency distribution of Hubble types along the sequence S0-Sa-Sb-Sc-Sm-Im in “field” areas differed from the morphological frequency distribution in “cluster” areas at greater than 99.9% confidence. The observed differences were in the expected sense (Hubble 1936, p.79, Dressler 1980), with early-type galaxies being over abundant in cluster areas and under abundant in the field. This result strengthens confidence in the conclusion that the “field”, “group”, and “cluster” assignments correspond to significant physical differences in galaxy environment.

2. FIELD GALAXIES

Since field galaxies are expected to suffer fewer encounters with neighbors, than do members of groups and clusters, they provide a fiducial against which group and cluster members can be compared. For 172 pure spirals of types Sa-Sc, 69% are of the *s* variety,

10% are of type *rs* and 21% are of the *r* variety. For 61 barred spirals of type SBa-SBc in the present sample 54% are of the *s* variety, 20% are of type *rs* and 26% are of the *r* variety. These numbers suggest that the fraction of all spiral galaxies that are of the *s*, *rs*, and *r* varieties does not differ significantly between normal (S) and barred (SB) field galaxies.

For field spirals of types Sa to Sc the relative frequencies of the *s* and *r* varieties does not appear to depend significantly on parent galaxy luminosity. By the same token there is no evidence for a dependence of spiral arm variety on luminosity among barred spirals of types SBa to SBc. However, it is noted in passing that Ma (2002) has found that the pitch angle of spiral arms does depend on parent galaxy mass.

3. ARM VARIETIES AND ENVIRONMENT

Table 1 gives a contingency table that shows the frequencies of *s* (spiral), *rs* (intermediate) and *r* (ring) type arms in “field”, “group”, and “cluster” environments for normal spirals of types Sa-Sc. From these data $\chi^2 = 4.8$ for four degrees of freedom, which implies that there is no statistically significant relation between environment and arm variety. Similar information for the barred spirals of types SBa-SBc, that are contained in the northern Shapley-Ames catalog, are given in Table 2. From these data for barred spirals it is found that for $\chi^2 = 1.9$ for four degrees of freedom. In other words there appears to be no significant correlation between spiral arm variety and galaxy environment for either normal or for barred spirals. However, a caveat is that the frequency with which different arm varieties occur might itself be a function of Hubble type. Since the relative frequencies of Hubble types is a function of environment (Hubble 1936, Dressler 1980) it is therefore desirable to reinvestigate the possible dependence of spiral arm variety on environment by only using galaxies of a single Hubble type. For the present data set only Sc galaxies are numerous enough for such a study. Table 3 and Table 4 provide information on the possible

dependence of spiral arm variety on environment for Sc and SBc galaxies, respectively. For Table 3 and Table 4 one finds χ^2 values of 2.1 and 2.1 (with four degrees of freedom), respectively. This shows that there are no significant correlations between arm variety and environment for either Sc or for SBc galaxies.

4. CONCLUSIONS

The main result of the present investigation is that spiral arm variety does not appear to be a function of environment. In other words the internal properties of individual galaxies seem to determine whether they will develop spiral structure of the *s*, *rs* or *r* varieties. This conclusion is in complete agreement with the work of Buta (1999) who finds that “[M]ost resonance rings are driven by internal nonaxisymmetric perturbations.” According to Buta & Combes (1996) most of such rings form by gas accumulation in resonances. Among the northern Shapley-Ames galaxies classified by Sandage & Tammann (1981), no correlations were found between galaxy environment and the arm varieties *s*, *rs*, and *r* for (1) all galaxies of types Sa-Sc, (2) all galaxies of types SBa-SBc, (3) galaxies of Hubble type Sc and (4) for galaxies of type SBc.

REFERENCES

- Buta, R. 1995, ApJS, 96, 39
- Buta, R, 1999, Ap. Space Sci. 269-270, 79
- Buta, R., & Combes, F. 1996, Fund. Cosmic Physics, 17, 95
- de Vaucouleurs, S. 1959, Handbuch der Physik 53, 275
- Dressler, A. 1980, ApJ236, 351
- Ma, J. 2002, A&A (in press) = astro-ph/0203220
- Hubble, E. 1936, The Realm of the Nebulae (New Haven: Yale Univ. Press)
- Sandage, A., & Tammann, G. A. 1981 A Revised Shapley-Ames Catalog of Bright Galaxies,
(Washington: Carnegie Institution)
- Shapley, H. & Ames, A. 1932, Ann. Harvard College Obs., vol.88, no.
- van den Bergh, S. 1960, Publ. David Dunlap Obs. 2, 159.
- van den Bergh, S. 2002, in preparation

Table 1. Spiral arm variety versus galaxy environment for northern Shapley-Ames galaxies of Hubble types Sa-Sc

Arm Variety	Field	Group	Cluster
<i>s</i>	114	38	75
<i>rs</i>	22	2	10
<i>r</i>	36	14	19

Table 2. Spiral arm variety versus galaxy environment for northern Shapley-Ames galaxies of Hubble types SBa-SBc

Arm Variety	Field	Group	Cluster
<i>s</i>	33	6	24
<i>rs</i>	12	5	13
<i>r</i>	16	3	11

Table 3. Spiral arm variety versus galaxy environment for northern Shapley-Ames galaxies of Hubble type Sc

Arm Variety	Field	Group	Cluster
<i>s</i>	71	25	46
<i>rs</i>	12	1	5
<i>r</i>	14	5	9

Table 4. Spiral arm variety versus galaxy environment for northern Shapley-Ames galaxies of Hubble type SBc

Arm Variety	Field	Group	Cluster
<i>s</i>	13	2	15
<i>rs</i>	3	2	5
<i>r</i>	3	1	2